IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Criminal Case No. 10-01-00 182-MSK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

Plaintiff,

٧.

1. SCOTTIE J. EWING,

Defendant.

INFORMATION 26 U.S.C. § **7201**

The United States Attorney charges that:

Count 1

Beginning on or about January 1, 2005, and continuing through on or about April 17, 2006, in the District of Colorado, the defendant, SCOTTIE J. EWING, then a resident of Denver, Colorado, did willfully attempt to evade and defeat payment of income tax due and owing to the United States for the calendar year 2005 by the following affirmative acts of evasion:

- 1. EWING failed to file a tax return for the calendar year 2005 or otherwise report to the Internal Revenue Service cash and other payments he received from the operation and sale of a prostitution business known as Denver Sugar/Denver Players; and
- 2. EWING used an entity called Sindicate Media & Consulting, LLC to perform business functions, such as credit card processing, to disguise from the

Internal Revenue Service the true source and amount of the income he received from Denver Sugar/Denver Players.

The foregoing was in violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7201.

DAVID M. GAOUETTE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

By: Matthew T. Kirsch Assistant U.S. Attorney

<u>DEFENDANT</u> :	SCOTTIE J. EWING
YOB:	1970
ADDRESS:	Denver, CO
COMPLAINT FILED? YES X NO	
IF YES, PROVIDE MAGISTRATE CASE NUMBER: IF NO, PROCEED TO "OFFENSE" SECTION	
HAS DEFENDANT BEEN ARRESTED ON COMPLAINT? YES NO IF NO, A NEW WARRANT IS REQUIRED	
OFFENSE:	Count 1: 26 U.S.C. § 7201, Tax evasion.
LOCATION OF OFFENSE: Denver County, CO	
PENALTY:	Count 1: NMT 5 years of imprisonment, a fine of NMT the greater of \$250,000 or 2x gain or loss from offense (plus costs of prosecution), or both; NMT 3 years of supervised release; restitution; \$100 special assessment fee.
AGENT:	Anthony Romero, IRS-CID
AUTHORIZED BY:	Matthew T. Kirsch Assistant U.S. Attorney
ESTIMATED TIME OF TRIAL:	
five days or less over five daysX_ other	
THE GOVERNMENT	
will seek detention in this case X will not seek detention in this case	
The statutory presumption of detention is not applicable to this defendant.	
OCDETF CASE:	Yes <u>X</u> No